Foreign direct investment in Maharashtra

3870. PROF. RAM KAPSE:
SHRI RAJ NATH SINGH.

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether Maharashtra has received the maximum amount of foreign direct investment in the last five years;

(b) if so, the amount of investment along with details of the proposals cleared; and

(c) by when the pending proposals are likely to be cleared?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI MURASOLIMARAN): (a) and (b) No, Sir. In the post policy period, *i.e.,* from August 1991 to February 1997 foreign direct investment to the tune of Rs. 13575.22 crore involving 1382 foreign direct investment proposals (including technical) have been approved and it counts for 12.57% of the total foreign direct investment.

(c) Foreign investment proposals received in the Secretariat for Industrial Assistance (SIA) are placed before the Foreign Investment Promotion Board within 15 days of receipt. The decision of the Government on foreign investment proposals are conveyed by the SIA normally within 6 weeks.

Foreign Investment compared to South-East Asian countries

3871. SHRI K.M. SAIFULLAH: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pieased to state:

(a) the year-wise approvals of foreign investment in India during the last five years;

(b) the percentage of investments that materialised, year-wise;

(c) whether it is a fact that the percentage of materialisation of approvals is much higher in South-East Asian countries compared to India; and

(d) if so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): (a) and (b) The year-wise break up of foreign investment in India during the last five years is as under:-

Year	Amount of FDI approved (Rs. in Crores)
1992	3887.54
1993	8859.33
1994	14187.19
1995	32071.72
1996	36146.81

(c) and (d) The information with regard to percentage of materialisation of approvals in other countries compared to India is not centrally maintained.

Vacant posts in PSUs

3872. SHRI S.M. KRISHNA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether a number of posts of CMD and ED level are lying vacant in many public undertakings under his Ministry's control:

(b) if so, the details of such PSUs and the time since these vacancies are lying vacant;

(c) whether some of these posts have temporarily been filled up by officers -of All India Service having no experience of the relevant field jeopardising the interest of the company;

(d) if sol the details of such companies and the reasons therefor; and

(e) by when such PSUs will have full time heads?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): (a) to (e) The present status of vacant posts of CMDs and Functional Directors in Public Sector Undertakings of the Department of Heavy Industry is given in Statement *(see* below) Information with regard to below Board Level posts including ED level posts are maintained by the respective Public Sector Undertakings and is not maintained by the Department.

Statement

Present States of Vacant Post of CMDs in PSUs under Deptt of Heavy Industry

SI. No.	Name of the Post/PSU	Date since lying vacant	Details/Status of Vacancies
1.	CMD Bharat Bhari Udyog Nigam Ltd. (BBUNL)	21.06.93	Public Enterprises Selection Board (PESB) has recommended the name for the post of CMD. Vigilance clearance is being obtained. As soon as the vigilance clearance is received, approval of the competent authority will be obtained for appointment. Meanwhile additional charge of the post of Chairman has been entrusted to the Joint Secretary concerned who is an All India Service (AIS) Officer and additional charge of the Managing Director's post has been given to Director (Personnel) of the Company.
2.	CMD Hindustan Photofilms, Corpn. Ltd. (HPF)	01.10.92	Candidate recommended by PESB has withdrawn his consent. PESB has been requested for sending a fresh panel. On receipt of PESB's recommendation, approval of competent authority will be obtained for appointment. Meanwhile additional charge of the Post of Chairman has been given to the Joint Secretary concerned who is an All India Service (AIS) Officer while additional charge of the post of MD is being held by Director (Finance) of the Company.
3.	CMD National Bicycle Corpn, of India Ltd. (NBCIL)	29.01.93	Board for Industrial & Financial Reconstruction (BIFR) has ordered for winding up. In view of uncertain future of the Co. it has been decided not to fill the post of CMD/NBCIL. Meanwhile additional charge of the CMD's post has been entrusted to the Director in the Department, who is an All India Service (AIS) Officer.
4.	MD Reyrolle Burn Ltd. (RBL)	21.12.93	Officer from Ministry of Defence recommended by PESB for the post. Matter being processed further with PESB for appointment on absorption basis before seeking approval of the competent authority.
5.	CMD Cycle Corpn, of India (CCIL)	01.04.95	It has been decided not to fill up the post of CMD/ CCIL on regular basis. However, in order to look after day to day operations Shri S. Neogi, the then Director (Personnel) in Andrew Yule & Co. Ltd. was given the addl. charge of the CMD/CCIL with the approval of competent authority w.e.f. 1.6.1996.
6.	CMD Tyre Corpn, of India Ltd. (TCIL)	21.08.95	Filling up of the post has been deferred till a decision is taken on the future of TCIL which is under consideration of the Government.

SI. No.	Name of the Post/PSU	Date since lying vacant	Details/Status of Vacancies
7.	MD Triveni Structural Ltd. (TSL)	28.11.96	PESB recommendations received. Vigilance clearance for recommended candidate being obtained. As soon as vigilance clearance is received, approval of the competent authority will be obtained for appointment.
8.	MD Tugabhadra Steel Products Ltd. (TSP)	26.12.96	PESB recommendations received. Vigilance clearance for recommended candidate being obtained. As soon as vigilance clearance is received, approval of the competent authority will be obtained for appointment.
9.	MD Hindustan Newsprint Ltd. (HNL)	01.02.96	PESB recommendations received. Vigilance clearance for recommended candidate being obtained. As soon as vigilance clearance is received, approval of the competent authority will be obtained for appointment.
10.	Dir. (Pers.) Andrew Yule & Co. Ltd. (AYCL)	4.3.97	PESB has been requested for their recommendations.
11.	Dir. (Tech.) Bharat Bhari Udyog Nigam Ltd. (BBUNL)	15.9.95	Appointment letter was issued. The selected candidate has refused to join. The PESB has been requested to draw a fresh panel.
12.	Dir. (Tech.) Bharat Yantra Nigam Ltd. (BYNL)	1.5.96	PESB recommendations received. Approval of competent authority being obtained for appointment.
13.	Dir.(Proj-) Bridge & Roof (1972) Ltd. (B&R)	9.12.96	PESB has already been requested for the recommendations.
14.	Dir.(Fin.) Mining & Allied Mach. Corp. Ltd. (MAMC)	4.12.95	Selected candidate has declined to join. Approval of the competent authority being obtained to initiate the fresh process.
15.	Dir. (Mktg.) Heavy Engg. Corp. Ltd. (HEC)	7.2.96	PESB recommendations received. Approval of competent authority being obtained for appointment.
16.	Dir. (Prod.) Tyre Corp. of India Ltd. (TCIL)	31.1.97	PESB recommendations received. Vigilance clearance for recommended candidate being obtained. As soon as vigilance clearance is received, approval of the competent authority will be obtained for appointment.

SI. No.	Name of the Post/PSU	Date since lying vacant	Details/Status of Vacancies
17.	Dir. (Pers.) Cement Corp. of India Ltd. (CCI)	1.11.96	PESB recommendations received. Approval of competent authority being obtained for appointment.
18.	Dir. (Open) Hindustan Paper Corp. Ltd. (HPC)	1.11.96	PESB recommendations received. Approval of competent authority being obtained for appointment.
19.	Dir. (MPCPP) HMT Ltd. (HMT)	1.4.97	Proposal sent to PESB for their recommendations.

Review of PMRY

3873. SHRI S.M. KRISHNA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have recently decided to revise Prime Minister Rojgar Yojana;

(b) if so, the details of the representation/ suggestions so received from different State Governments/organisations and whether such organisations concerned with implementing the scheme have been taken into confidence before taking a final decision:

(c) the salient features of the revised scheme and by when the revised scheme is likely to be implemented; and

(d) the number of beneficiaries in Karnataka during last five month of last financial year, month-wise covered under the scheme?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): (a) to (c) A proposal has been made after due consultation for revision of certain parameters of the Prime Minister's Rozgar Yojana; for revision in income ceiling, relaxations in age for certain categories, etc. changes in the scheme have been kept in abeyance as the Planning Commission is looking into the question of uniformity of schemes, and the finalisation of the IX Plan.

(d) A statement showing number of applicants sanctioned loan month-wise during the. last five months of the last financial year i.e. 1996-97 in Karnataka as reported by the State Government is at statement.

Statement

Number of Applicants sanctioned loan Month-wise From November, 1996 to march, 1997 under Prime Minister's Rozgar Yojana During 1996-97 in Karnataka

Month-Wise	Number of Applicants Sanctioned Loan During the Month by Banks
November, 1996	2854
December. 1996	2047
January, 1997	1832
February, 1997	1741
March, 1997	2617

During the year 1996-97, 17,326 beneficiaries were sanctioned loans by banks against to the target of 17,700 under Prime Minister's Rozgar Yojana in Karnataka.

Globalisation of PSUs classified as Navratnas

3874. SHRI RAMDAS AGARWAL: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether his Ministry has called any meeting of the Chief Executives of the "Navratnas" (Nine gems) of PSUs to finalise a common approach for globalisation of these undertakings as reported in the Financial Express, dated 28th April, 1997;